arXiv:1412.8057v2 [math.NT] 1 Jan 2015

Finding almost squares VI

Tsz Ho Chan

Abstract

In this paper, we continue the study of almost squares and extend the result of the author's fourth paper of the series to almost squares with closer factors.

1 Introduction and Main results

An almost square is an integer n that can be factored as $n = ab$ with a, b close to $\sqrt{n}$. For example $n = 999999 = 999 \times 1001$ is an almost square. More precisely, for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1/2$ and $C > 0$,

Definition 1 *An integer n is a (θ, C)-almost square if $n = ab$ for some integers a, b in the interval $[n^{1/2} - Cn^{\theta}, n^{1/2} + Cn^{\theta}]$.*

In [1], the author raised the following

Conjecture 1 *Given $1/4 < \theta \leq 1/2$, $C > 0$ and any $\epsilon > 0$. For x sufficiently large, almost all intervals $[x - x^{1/2-\theta+\epsilon}, x + x^{1/2-\theta+\epsilon}]$ contains a (θ, C)-almost square.*

In fact, one suspects that the above is true without the word "almost". In [1], the author was only able to answer the above question when $\theta = 1/2$ and was not sure how to consider smaller θ. In this paper, we are going to make progress for smaller θ and get

Theorem 1 *Given $1/4 < \theta \leq 1/2$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $C > 0$, and let $X > 0$ be a sufficiently large real number. Then the interval $[x, x + x^{1-2\theta} \log^{5+\epsilon} x]$ contains a (θ, C)-almost square for almost all $x \in [X, 2X]$. Here almost all means apart from a set of measure $o(X)$.*

Note that the exponent here is twice that of Conjecture 1. The key idea of its proof is to use a shorter interval of integration which gives rise to a bigger exponent. We also improve a result in [1].

Corollary 1 *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $X > 0$ be a sufficiently large real number. Then, for almost all $x \in [X, 2X]$, the interval $[x, x + \log^{5+\epsilon} x]$ contains an integer $n = ab$ with $\frac{1}{2}x^{1/2} \leq a, b \leq 2x^{1/2}$. Here almost all means apart from a set of measure $o(X)$.*

Some Notations The notations $f(x) = O(g(x))$, $f(x) \ll g(x)$ and $g(x) \gg f(x)$ are all equivalent to $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for some constant $C > 0$. Meanwhile $f(x) = o(g(x))$ means that $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$.

2 Main idea

Let $X > Y > 0$ be sufficiently large real numbers and $y \in [X, X + Y]$. Let $1/2 \leq L \leq U \leq X^{1/2}$ and $V \geq 2$ be parameters that may depend on X, Y but not y. Define

$$N(s) := \sum_{U-L\leq n\leq U+L} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(y) := \sum_{\substack{y\leq nn'\leq y(1+1/V) \\ U-L\leq n\leq U+L}} 1.$$

By Perron's formula

$$\Phi(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \zeta(s)N(s)\Big[\Big(1+\frac{1}{V}\Big)^s - 1\Big]y^s\frac{ds}{s} + O(|R_y| + |R_{(1+1/V)y}|)$$

where $c = 1 + \frac{1}{\log X}$ and

$$R_x \ll \sum_{\substack{x/2<n<2x\\ n\neq x}} a_n \min\Big(1, \frac{x}{T|x-n|}\Big) + \frac{(4x)^c}{T}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_n}{n^c}$$

where $a_n = \sum_{m|n, U-L\le m\le U+L} 1$. Now we shift the line of integration to the left. By Cauchy's reside theorem,

$$\frac{y}{V}N(1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\Big(\int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} + \int_{c+iT}^{\eta+iT} + \int_{\eta+iT}^{\eta-iT} + \int_{\eta-iT}^{c-iT}\Big)\zeta(s)N(s)\Big[\Big(1+\frac{1}{V}\Big)^s - 1\Big]y^s\frac{ds}{s}$$

for some $1/2 \le \eta < 1$. Thus

$$\Phi(y) - \frac{y}{V}N(1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\Big(\int_{c+iT}^{\eta+iT} + \int_{\eta+iT}^{\eta-iT} + \int_{\eta-iT}^{c-iT}\Big)\zeta(s)N(s)\Big[\Big(1+\frac{1}{V}\Big)^s - 1\Big]y^s\frac{ds}{s} + O(|R_y| + |R_{(1+1/V)y}|).$$

Since $\zeta(\sigma+it) \ll (|t|+2)^{(1-\sigma)/3}\log|t|$ for $0 \le \sigma \le 1$ and $|t| \ge 2$ (see [2, Theorem 1.9] for example), we have

$$\int_{c+iT}^{\eta+iT} \zeta(s)N(s)\Big[\Big(1+\frac{1}{V}\Big)^s - 1\Big]y^s\frac{ds}{s} \ll \frac{\log T}{T}\int_{\eta}^{c} T^{(1-\sigma)/3}\frac{L}{U^\sigma}y^\sigma d\sigma \ll \frac{Ly}{UT}\log T$$

provided $2 \le \frac{y}{UT^{1/3}} \le X$ and $T \le X$. Therefore as $1 \le L \le U$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(y) - \frac{y}{V}N(1) =& \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\eta+iT}^{\eta-iT} \zeta(s)N(s)\Big[\Big(1+\frac{1}{V}\Big)^s - 1\Big]y^s\frac{ds}{s}\\ &+ O\Big(\frac{LX}{UT}\log T + |R_y| + |R_{(1+1/V)y}|\Big). \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to prove that

$$I_{X,Y} := \frac{1}{Y}\int_X^{X+Y} \Big|\Phi(y) - \frac{y}{V}N(1)\Big|^2 dy$$

is small which would imply that $\Phi(y) \neq 0$ for almost all $y \in [X, X+Y]$.

3 Some Lemmas

Lemma 1 *For $0 < L \le U/2$,*

$$S_1 = \sum_{N_1\le n_1<2N_1}\sum_{N_2\le n_2<2N_2}\sum_{\substack{U-L\le m_1,m_2\le U+L\\ n_1m_1=n_2m_2}} \frac{1}{n_1^{1/2}n_2^{1/2}m_1^{1/2}m_2^{1/2}} \ll \frac{L+U^{1/2}}{U}\log^2(N_1N_2U).$$

Proof: Without loss of generality, we may assume that $N_1/8 \le N_2 \le 8N_1$ for otherwise say $N_2 < N_1/8$, then since we want $n_1m_1 = n_2m_2$,

$$4 < \frac{N_1}{2N_2} < \frac{n_1}{n_2} = \frac{m_2}{m_1} \le \frac{U+L}{U-L} \le \frac{3U/2}{U/2} = 3$$

which is impossible (the other case $N_2 > 8N_1$ is similar). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 \ll & \frac{1}{N_1U} \sum_{N_1\le n_1<2N_1} \sum_{N_2\le n_2<2N_2} \sum_{\substack{U-L\le m_1,m_2\le U+L\\ n_1m_1=n_2m_2}} 1\\
\le & \frac{1}{N_1U} \sum_{N_1\le n_1<2N_1} \sum_{U-L\le m_1\le U+L} d(n_1m_1)\\
\ll & \frac{1}{N_1U} \sum_{N_1\le n_1<2N_1} d(n_1) \sum_{U-L\le m_1\le U+L} d(m_1)\\
\ll & \frac{1}{N_1U}(N_1\log N_1)(L\log U + U^{1/2}) \ll \frac{L+U^{1/2}}{U}\log^2 N_1U
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the lemma.

Lemma 2 *For $U^\beta < L \le U/2$ with some $0<\beta<1/2$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 = & \sum_{N_1\le n_1<2N_1} \sum_{N_2\le n_2<2N_2} \sum_{\substack{U-L\le m_1,m_2\le U+L\\ n_1m_1\ne n_2m_2}} \frac{1}{n_1^{1/2}n_2^{1/2}m_1^{1/2}m_2^{1/2}} \frac{1}{|\log(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1})|}\\
\ll & \frac{N_1^{1/2}N_2^{1/2}L^2}{U}\log N_1N_2U + \frac{N_1N_2L^2}{U^2}\log N_1N_2U.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Suppose $N_2 < N_1/8$, then $n_2m_2 < 3N_1U/8 \le 3n_1m_1/4$. So $\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1} < \frac{3}{4}$ and $|\log\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}| > \log\frac{4}{3}$. Hence

$$S_2 \ll \sum_{N_1\le n_1<2N_1} \sum_{N_2\le n_2<2N_2} \sum_{\substack{U-L\le m_1,m_2\le U+L\\ n_1m_1\ne n_2m_2}} \frac{1}{n_1^{1/2}n_2^{1/2}m_1^{1/2}m_2^{1/2}} \ll \frac{N_1^{1/2}N_2^{1/2}L^2}{U}.$$

Similarly, we get the same upper bound when $N_2 > 8N_1$. Thus we may assume $N_1/8 \le N_2 \le 8N_1$. By symmetry, we may even assume $N_1/8 \le N_2 \le N_1$. We break down the sum according to

$$n_1m_1 - n_2m_2 = h \quad \text{with} \quad -4N_2U \le h \le 4N_1U \text{ and } h \ne 0.$$

Let $d = (m_1, m_2)|h$. Then

$$x_0\frac{m_1}{d} - y_0\frac{m_2}{d} = 1 \text{ for some integers } x_0 \text{ and } y_0$$

with $-\frac{m_2}{d} \le x_0 \le -1$ and $-\frac{m_1}{d} \le y_0 \le -1$ if $h<0$; or $1 \le x_0 \le \frac{m_2}{d}$ and $1 \le y_0 \le \frac{m_1}{d}$ if $h>0$. Let $m_1' = m_1/d$, $m_2' = m_2/d$ and $h' = h/d$. Then

$$x_0m_1' - y_0m_2' = 1$$

and

$$n_1 = m_2't + h'x_0, \;\; n_2 = m_1't + h'y_0 \text{ for some positive integer } t.$$

Since $N_1 \le n_1 < 2N_1$ and $N_2 \le n_2 < 2N_2$, we have

$$\max\Big(\frac{N_1}{m_2'} - \frac{h'x_0}{m_2'}, \frac{N_2}{m_1'} - \frac{h'y_0}{m_1'}\Big) \le t \le \min\Big(\frac{2N_1}{m_2'} - \frac{h'x_0}{m_2'}, \frac{2N_2}{m_1'} - \frac{h'y_0}{m_1'}\Big).$$

Hence

$$S_2 \ll \frac{1}{N_1^{1/2}N_2^{1/2}U}\sum_d\sum_{h'}\sum_{\substack{(U-L)/d\le m_1',m_2'\le(U+L)/d\\(m_1',m_2')=1}}\sum_t \frac{1}{\left|\log\left(\frac{(m_1't+h'y_0)m_2'}{(m_2't+h'x_0)m_1'}\right)\right|} \tag{2}$$

where the sum over d is from 1 to $2U$ (for otherwise $(U+L)/d<1$), the sum over $h'\neq 0$ is from $-4N_2U/d$ to $4N_1U/d$, and the sum over t is subjected to the condition above. First, let us separate the contribution from those $d>4L$. The interval $[(U-L)/d,(U+L)/d]$ has length $2L/d<1$. Hence $m_1'=m_2'=1$. So, in this case, we must have $x_0=1$ and $y_0=0$, and $(U-L)/d\le 1\le (U+L)/d$ meaning that $U-L\le d\le U+L$. Then the contribution from these d's is

$$\ll \frac{1}{N_1^{1/2}N_2^{1/2}U}\sum_d\sum_{h'}\sum_{N_1-h'\le t\le 2N_1-h'}\frac{1}{\left|\log\left(\frac{t}{t+h'}\right)\right|}. \tag{3}$$

Suppose $0<h'<4N_1U/d$ (the other case is similar).

$$\frac{t}{t+h'}=1-\frac{h'}{t+h'} \text{ and } 0\le\frac{h'}{t+h'}<1 \text{ when } h'\le\frac{N_1U}{4d}.$$

By $\log(1-x)\le -x$ when $0\le x<1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\left|\log\left(\frac{t}{t+h'}\right)\right|}\le\frac{1}{\frac{h'}{t+h'}}\ll\frac{N_1U}{h'd}$$

when $0<h'\le N_1U/(4d)$. When $N_1U/(4d)<h'<4N_1U/d$,

$$\frac{1}{\left|\log\left(\frac{t}{t+h'}\right)\right|}=\frac{1}{\log(1+\frac{h'}{t})}\le\frac{1}{\log(1+\frac{N_1U/(4d)}{4UN_2/d})}\ll 1\ll\frac{N_1U}{h'd}.$$

Thus

$$(3)\ll\frac{1}{U}\sum_{U-L\le d\le U+L}\sum_{0<h'\le 4N_1U/d}\frac{N_1U}{dh'}\ll\frac{N_1L}{U}\log N_1U. \tag{4}$$

From now on, we restrict our attention to (2) with $d\le 4L$. Suppose $-4N_2U\le h'<0$ (the other case is similar). Observe that

$$\frac{(m_1't+h'y_0)m_2'}{(m_2't+h'x_0)m_1'}=1+\frac{-h'}{(m_2't+h'x_0)m_1'} \text{ and } \frac{N_1U}{2d}\le(m_2't+h'x_0)m_1'=n_1m_1'\le\frac{4N_1U}{d}.$$

If $-\frac{N_2U}{2d}\le h'<0$, then $0<\frac{-h'}{(m_2't+h'x_0)m_1}\le 1$. By $\log(1+x)\ge\frac{x}{2}$ for $0\le x\le 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\left|\log\left(\frac{(m_1't+h'y_0)m_2'}{(m_2't+h'x_0)m_1'}\right)\right|}\le\frac{2}{\frac{-h'}{(m_2't+h'x_0)m_1}}\ll\frac{N_1U}{|h'|d}.$$

If $-\frac{4N_2U}{d}\le h'<-\frac{N_2U}{2d}$, then $1<\frac{-h'}{(m_2't+h'x_0)m_1'}\le 8$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\left|\log\left(\frac{(m_1't+h'y_0)m_2'}{(m_2't+h'x_0)m_1'}\right)\right|}\le\frac{1}{\log 2}\ll\frac{N_1U}{|h'|d}.$$

Combining the above estimates with (2), (3) and (4), we have

$$S_2\ll\frac{N_1L}{U}\log N_1U+\frac{1}{N_1^{1/2}N_2^{1/2}U}\sum_{U/4N_1<d\le 4L}\sum_{h'}\sum_{\substack{(U-L)/d\le m_1',m_2'\le(U+L)/d\\(m_1',m_2')=1}}\frac{dN_1}{U}\frac{N_1U}{|h'|d}.$$

The reason we have $U/4N_1 < d$ is that if $d \le U/4N_1$, then $t < 2N_1/m_2' \le 2N_1/(U/2d) = 4N_1 d/U \le 1$ as $L \le U/2$. Hence

$$S_2 \ll \frac{N_1 L}{U}\log N_1 U + \frac{N_1^{1/2}N_2^{1/2}}{U}\sum_{U/4N_1<d\le 4L}\sum_{h'}\frac{1}{|h'|}\sum_{\substack{(U-L)/d\le m_1',m_2'\le (U+L)/d\\(m_1',m_2')=1}} 1$$

$$\ll \frac{N_1^{1/2}N_2^{1/2}L}{U}\log N_1N_2U + \frac{N_1N_2L^2}{U^2}\log N_1N_2U$$

which gives the lemma.

Lemma 3 *For $U^\beta < L \le U/2$ with $1/2 \le \beta \le 1$,*

$$I := \int_1^T \Big|\zeta\Big(\frac12+it\Big)N\Big(\frac12+it\Big)\Big|^2 dt \ll \frac{TL}{U}\log^2 TU + \frac{T^{1/2}L^2}{U}\log TU.$$

Proof: First, recall the approximate functional equation of $\zeta(s)$ (see [2, Theorem 4.1] for example):

$$\zeta(\sigma+it) = \sum_{n_1\le\sqrt{t/2\pi}}\frac{1}{n_1^{\sigma+it}} + \chi(\sigma+it)\sum_{n_2\le\sqrt{t/2\pi}}\frac{1}{n_2^{1-\sigma-it}} + O(t^{-1/4})$$

where

$$\chi(s) = \frac{(2\pi)^s}{2\Gamma(s)\cos(\pi s/2)}.$$

Put $\sigma = 1/2$ and use $|a+b+c|^2 \le 3(|a|^2+|b|^2+|c|^2)$, we get

$$I \ll \int_1^T \Big|\sum_{n_1\le\sqrt{t/2\pi}}\frac{1}{n_1^{1/2+it}}N\Big(\frac12+it\Big)\Big|^2 dt$$

$$+ \int_1^T \Big|\chi\Big(\frac12+it\Big)\Big|^2\Big|\sum_{n_2\le\sqrt{t/2\pi}}\frac{1}{n_2^{1/2-it}}N\Big(\frac12+it\Big)\Big|^2 dt$$

$$+ \int_1^T \frac{1}{t^{1/2}}\Big|N\Big(\frac12+it\Big)\Big|^2 dt =: I_1+I_2+I_3.$$

We estimate I_3 first. By integration by parts,

$$I_3 = \frac{I(T)}{T^{1/2}} + \frac12\int_1^T \frac{I(u)}{u^{3/2}}du$$

where $I(u) = \int_1^u |N(\frac12+it)|^2 dt$. By Montgomery and Vaughan's mean value theorem [3],

$$I(u) \ll u\frac{L}{U} + L.$$

Hence

$$I_3 \ll T^{1/2}\frac{L}{U} + L.$$

Next, we estimate I_1. By expanding things out, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_1^T \sum_{n_1,n_2\le\sqrt{t/2\pi}} \sum_{U-L\le m_1,m_2\le U+L} \frac{1}{n_1^{1/2}n_2^{1/2}m_1^{1/2}m_2^{1/2}}\Big(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}\Big)^{it}dt \\
&= \sum_{n_1,n_2\le\sqrt{T/2\pi}} \sum_{U-L\le m_1,m_2\le U+L} \frac{1}{n_1^{1/2}n_2^{1/2}m_1^{1/2}m_2^{1/2}} \int_{2\pi\max(n_1^2,n_2^2)}^T \Big(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}\Big)^{it}dt \\
&\ll T \sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2\le\sqrt{T/2\pi}\\ n_1m_1=n_2m_2}} \sum_{U-L\le m_1,m_2\le U+L} \frac{1}{n_1^{1/2}n_2^{1/2}m_1^{1/2}m_2^{1/2}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2\le\sqrt{T/2\pi}\\ n_1m_1\ne n_2m_2}} \sum_{U-L\le m_1,m_2\le U+L} \frac{1}{n_1^{1/2}n_2^{1/2}m_1^{1/2}m_2^{1/2}} \Big|\frac{1}{\log\big(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}\big)}\Big|.
\end{aligned}$$

Apply Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$I_1 \ll T\frac{L+U^{1/2}}{U}\log^2 TU + \frac{T^{1/2}L^2}{U}\log TU + \frac{TL^2}{U^2}\log TU.$$

As $|\chi(1/2+it)| = 1$,

$$I_2 \ll T\frac{L+U^{1/2}}{U}\log^2 TU + \frac{T^{1/2}L^2}{U}\log TU + \frac{TL^2}{U^2}\log TU$$

by almost the same argument as I_1. Combining the bounds for I_1, I_2 and I_3, we have the lemma.

Finally, we need a lemma to bound the error terms in Perron's formula.

Lemma 4 *For $\epsilon > 0$, $1 \le a \le 2$ and $1 \le T, Y \le X$,*

$$\frac{1}{Y}\int_X^{X+Y} |R_{ay}|^2 dy \ll_\epsilon \frac{L^2X^2}{U^2T^2}\log^2 X + \frac{XL^2}{TY}\log^2 X.$$

Proof: Recall

$$R_x \ll \sum_{\substack{x/2<n<2x\\ n\ne x}} a_n \min\Big(1, \frac{x}{T|x-n|}\Big) + \frac{(4x)^c}{T}\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_n}{n^c}$$

where $c = 1+1/\log X$ and $a_n = \sum_{m|n, U-L\le m\le U+L} 1$. Splitting the sum and using $\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1}+O(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{ay} &\ll \sum_{ay-\frac{ay}{T}\le n\le ay+\frac{ay}{T}} \sum_{\substack{m|n\\ U-L\le m\le U+L}} 1 + \frac{y}{T}\sum_{\frac{ay}{2}<n<ay-\frac{ay}{T}} \frac{1}{ay-n} \sum_{\substack{m|n\\ U-L\le m\le U+L}} 1 \\
&\quad + \frac{y}{T}\sum_{\frac{ay}{2}<n<ay-\frac{ay}{T}} \frac{1}{n-ay} \sum_{\substack{m|n\\ U-L\le m\le U+L}} 1 + \frac{X}{T}\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_n}{n^c} \sum_{\substack{m|n\\ U-L\le m\le U+L}} 1 \\
&\ll \sum_{U-L\le m\le U+L} \sum_{\frac{ay}{m}-\frac{ay}{Tm}\le n'\le\frac{ay}{m}+\frac{ay}{Tm}} 1 + \frac{y}{T}\sum_{U-L\le m\le U+L} \sum_{\frac{ay}{2m}<n'<\frac{ay}{m}-\frac{ay}{Tm}} \frac{1}{ay-mn'} \\
&\quad + \frac{y}{T}\sum_{U-L\le m\le U+L} \sum_{\frac{ay}{2m}<n'<\frac{ay}{m}-\frac{ay}{Tm}} \frac{1}{mn'-ay} + \frac{X}{T}\sum_{U-L\le m\le U+L}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{n'=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n'^c} \\
&\ll \sum_{U-L\le m\le U+L} \sum_{\frac{ay}{m}-\frac{ay}{Tm}\le n'\le\frac{ay}{m}+\frac{ay}{Tm}} 1 + \frac{LX}{UT}\log X.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $f_\Delta(x) = \max(1 - |x|/\Delta, 0)$ where $\Delta = \frac{10X}{UT}$ and $g_\Delta(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_\Delta(x-n)$. Hence

$$R_{ay} \ll \sum_{U-L\le m\le U+L} g_\Delta\Big(\frac{ay}{m}\Big) + \frac{LX}{UT}\log X.$$

Now we make use of the Fourier series of $g_\Delta(x)$, say

$$\begin{aligned} g_\Delta(x) =& \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k e(kx) \text{ where } a_k = \Delta\Big(\frac{\sin \pi k\Delta}{\pi k\Delta}\Big)^2 \\ =& \Delta + \sum_{0<|k|\le K} a_k e(kx) + O(\Delta) \end{aligned}$$

where $K = \frac{1}{\Delta^2}$. Therefore

$$\int_X^{X+Y} |R_{ay}|^2 dy \ll Y\frac{L^2X^2}{U^2T^2}\log^2 X + \int_X^{X+Y} \Big|\sum_{0<k\le K} a_k \sum_{U-L\le m\le U+L} e\Big(\frac{kay}{m}\Big)\Big|^2 dy. \tag{5}$$

It remains to deal with the last integral denoted by J. Expanding things out, isolating the diagonal terms, and interchanging summations and integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J \ll& Y \sum_{0<k,l\le K} a_k a_l \sum_{\substack{U-L\le m,n\le U+L \\ kn=lm}} 1 + U^2 \sum_{0<k,l\le K} a_k a_l \sum_{\substack{U-L\le m,n\le U+L \\ kn\ne lm}} \frac{1}{|kn-lm|} \\ \ll& Y \sum_{0<k,l\le K} a_k a_l \sum_{\substack{U-L\le m,n\le U+L \\ kn=lm}} 1 + U^2 \sum_{0<t\le K(U+L)} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{U-L\le m,n\le U+L \\ kn-lm=t}} 1 =: S_1 + S_2. \end{aligned}$$

First, we deal with S_1. Suppose $d = (m,n)$. Let $m' = m/d$ and $n' = n/d$. Then $k = m's$ and $l = n's$ for positive integer s. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 =& Y \sum_{d\le 2L} \sum_{U/d-L/d\le m',n'\le U/d+L/d} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_{m's}a_{n's} \\ \ll& Y \sum_{d\le 2L} \sum_{U/d-L/d\le m',n'\le U/d+L/d} \sum_{s\le \frac{d}{\Delta U}} \Delta^2 + Y \sum_{d\le 2L} \sum_{U/d-L/d\le m',n'\le U/d+L/d} \sum_{s>\frac{d}{\Delta U}} \frac{d^4}{\Delta^2U^4}\frac{1}{s^4} \\ \ll& Y\frac{\Delta L^2}{U}\log X. \end{aligned}$$

For S_2, suppose $d = (m,n)$ which divides t. Let $m' = m/d$, $n' = n/d$ and $t' = t/d$. For each pair of m' and n', say $x_0n' - y_0m' = 1$ for some $0 \le x_0 \le m'$ and $0 \le y_0 \le n'$. Then $k = m's + x_0t'$ and $l = n's + y_0t'$ for non-negative integer s. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J \ll& Y\frac{\Delta L^2}{U}\log X + U^2 \sum_{0<t'\le K(U+L)} \frac{1}{t'} \sum_{d\le 2L} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{U/d-L/d\le m'\le n'\le U/d+L/d} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_{m's+x_0t'}a_{n's+y_0t'} \\ \ll& Y\frac{\Delta L^2}{U}\log X + U^2 \sum_{0<t'\le K(U+L)} \frac{1}{t'} \sum_{d\le 2L} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{U/d-L/d\le m'\le n'\le U/d+L/d} \sum_{s\le 1/(\Delta m')} \Delta^2 \\ &+ U^2 \sum_{0<t'\le K(U+L)} \frac{1}{t'} \sum_{d\le 2L} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{U/d-L/d\le m'\le n'\le U/d+L/d} \sum_{s>1/(\Delta n')} \frac{1}{\Delta(m's)^2}\frac{1}{\Delta(n's)^2} \\ \ll& Y\frac{\Delta L^2}{U}\log X + \Delta UL^2\log^2 X. \end{aligned}$$

Putting this into (5) and dividing by Y, we get the lemma.

4 Proof of Theorem 1 and 1

$$J_{X,Y} := \frac{1}{Y}\int_X^{X+Y} \Big| \int_{\eta+iT}^{\eta-iT} \zeta(s)N(s)\Big[\Big(1+\frac{1}{V}\Big)^s - 1\Big] y^s \frac{ds}{s}\Big|^2 dy.$$

Multiplying things out and integrating over y, we have

$$J_{X,Y} \ll \frac{X^2}{YV^2}\int_{-T}^{T}\int_{-T}^{T} |\zeta(\eta+iu)||\zeta(\eta+iv)||N(\eta+iu)||N(\eta+iv)|\frac{dudv}{1+|u-v|}.$$

Here we use the fact that $(1+\frac{1}{V})^{\eta+iu} = e^{(\eta+iu)\log(1+1/V)} = e^{O(|\eta+iu|/V)} = 1+O(\frac{|\eta+iu|}{V})$ when $|u| \le V/2$ and $|\frac{(1+1/V)^{\eta+iu}-1}{\eta+iu}| \le \frac{(1+1/V)^{\eta}+1}{V/2} \ll \frac{1}{V}$ when $|u| > V/2$. As $2abcd \le (ac)^2+(bd)^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{X,Y} \ll& \frac{X^2}{YV^2}\int_{-T}^{T} |\zeta(\eta+iu)|^2|N(\eta+iu)|^2 \int_{-T}^{T}\frac{1}{1+|u-v|}dvdu \\ \ll& \frac{X^2}{YV^2}\log T\int_{-T}^{T}|\zeta(\eta+iu)|^2|N(\eta+iu)|^2 du \\ \ll& \frac{X^2}{YV^2}\log T\Big(\frac{TL}{U}\log^2 TU + \frac{T^{1/2}L^2}{U}\log TU\Big) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3. Hence, together with Lemma 4, we have

$$I_{X,Y} \ll \frac{X^2}{YV^2}\log X\Big(\frac{TL}{U}\log^2 X + \frac{T^{1/2}L^2}{U}\log X + \frac{TL^2}{U^2}\log X\Big) + \frac{L^2X^2}{U^2T^2}\log^2 X + \frac{XL^2}{TY}\log^2 X$$

as $U \le X^{1/2}$ and $T \le X$. Let

$$\mathcal{B} := \{y \in [X, X+Y] : \Phi(y) = 0\} \text{ and } |\mathcal{B}| \text{ be its measure.}$$

Then

$$\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{Y}\frac{X^2}{V^2}\Big(\frac{L}{U}\Big)^2 \ll \frac{X^2}{YV^2}\log X\Big(\frac{TL}{U}\log^2 X + \frac{T^{1/2}L^2}{U}\log X\Big) + \frac{L^2X^2}{U^2T^2}\log^2 X + \frac{XL^2}{TY}\log^2 X.$$

Let $U = X^{1/2}$ and $L = \frac{CX^\theta}{2C+3}$ with $1/4 < \theta \le 1/2$,

$$|\mathcal{B}| \ll T\frac{U}{L}\log^3 X + T^{1/2}U\log^2 X + \frac{YV^2}{T^2}\log^2 X + \frac{V^2U^2}{XT}\log^2 X. \tag{6}$$

Set $Y = X^{1/2}L \le CX^{1/2+\theta}/3$. By picking $T = X^{2\theta}/\log^{4+\epsilon/2} X$ and $V = X^{2\theta}/\log^{5+\epsilon} X$, one can check that $|\mathcal{B}| = o(Y)$ and $X^{1/2} - CX^\theta < n' < X^{1/2} + CX^\theta$ as $\theta > 1/4$ and ϵ can be arbitrarily small. This proves Theorem 1.

References

[1] T.H. Chan, Finding almost squares IV, Arch. Math. 92 (2009), 303–313.

[2] A. Ivić, The Riemann zeta-function, The theory of the Riemann zeta-function with applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1985.

[3] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, Hilbert's Inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 8 (1974), 73–82.

White Station High School
514 S. Perkins Road,
Memphis, TN 38117
U.S.A.